

Survey for Community Meeting



1. Given the need for additional revenue to balance the budget and return to financial sustainability – a proposition held by TCorp (Treasury Corporation, 2013), Professor Drew, Professor Miyazaki, Professor Ferreira– which of the three options do you prefer?

- I prefer the status quo and acknowledge that several external parties state that this will mean that Council will remain financially unsustainable. I understand that continued financial unsustainability may result in regulatory interventions.
- I would prefer the status quo and to reduce staff by 10%, capital expenditure by 15% or a combination of the two. I acknowledge that cuts of this kind would result in a very significant decline to local government services and local employment.
- I accept the need for the proposed additional SRV.

2. If you filled in the previous survey, is the above response the same as you made last time?

- Yes
- No

3. Do you have a preference for the timing of the SRV annual increases?

- I prefer to have a larger increase up-front and a shorter duration (i.e. over less than 3 years)
- I prefer to have a smaller increase up-front and a longer duration (i.e. over more than 3 years) even though this may make Council financially vulnerable.
- What is proposed seems to be the best balance, given Council’s needs.

4. Feedback to Council

.....

5. Feedback to IPART

.....